

REPACCMO 2026 Candidate Questionnaire

Thank you for taking the time to complete our values questionnaire. Voters who rely on party affiliation deserve to know a Republican candidate's values align with their own, which is the purpose of this document. You will be presented with a series of statements. For each statement, choose either "Agree" or "Disagree". There is a comment box below the statement where you can add comments. Each statement is scored based on Republican Platform values, including the Amendments passed last year at the state convention. Comments can provide clarification, but will not affect your score. There are nine pages to this questionnaire with varying numbers of questions, plus a candidate statement at the end. Please allot approximately half an hour to forty-five minutes of time to complete the questionnaire. Also, if you are running for office at a local or county level, be sure to check with your central committee to see if they are doing any additional vetting. Obtaining a REPACCMO vetted logo does not guarantee the Central Committee's endorsement if they have an additional evaluation process.

Begin by providing the personal information requested below. Do not leave any information blank. All statements require a response, and the questionnaire cannot be submitted if there are omissions. Only Comments and the last page of Candidate Statement declarations are optional.

Name	Dusty Blue
County	Audrain
Please select one of the following options	I am/will be a candidate for state or federal office
If you are or will be a candidate for elected office, please enter the office you are seeking or type "none" if you are not seeking elected office.	State Senate-District 18

Healthcare

I support the following statements:

A1. Obamacare should be repealed, and we should move to a free market solution without government interference.	Agree
A2. Abortion should be banned in Missouri with no exceptions.	Agree

A2. Comment

Every human life is sacred and deserves equal protection under the law. Abortion should be banned in Missouri with no exceptions, recognizing the unborn child as a human life worthy of the same protection as any other person.

A3. As a first step towards banning abortions in Missouri, I would support the 2026 A3 Amendment which allows for exceptions in the case of rape, incest, and the life of the mother.

Agree

A3. Comment

This is a difficult issue for me because I am both a Christian and an abolitionist. Amendment 3 should have never reached the ballot in the first place if Republican leadership had passed Initiative Petition reform before it got this far.

I'm also disappointed in many of the so-called pro-life organizations across the country. The reality is that many of them still support exceptions, which means they accept that some innocent lives are less worthy of protection than others.

Recently, I had the opportunity to sit in on a committee hearing for Senator Mike Moon's Personhood bill. While I'm not confident that this SJR will ultimately make it to the ballot, I wholeheartedly believe it deserves the same level of consideration and support that Amendment 3 received.

As for the new Amendment 3, I believe we have to vote yes—but we cannot stop there. Passing it cannot be the end of the conversation. We must continue pursuing the complete abolition of abortion in Missouri and fight until every innocent life is fully protected under the law.

A4. Individuals who aid in abortion should be criminally prosecuted, including the mother.

Agree

A4. Comment

As someone who believes abortion is the unjust taking of innocent human life, I believe our laws should reflect that reality and protect every child equally under the law.

We already recognize this principle in other areas of law. For example, if a pregnant woman is murdered during a robbery, the perpetrator can be charged with double homicide because the law acknowledges the death of both the mother and the unborn child. That recognition shows that the unborn child is a distinct human life deserving of protection.

At the same time, we must acknowledge that many women facing an unexpected pregnancy are under tremendous pressure, fear, and misinformation. Our priority should be protecting the life of the child while also extending compassion, truth, and real support to the mother.

Those who profit from and promote abortion—the abortion industry, doctors, and organizations that facilitate it—bear significant responsibility and should be held accountable. Ultimately, our goal should be to end abortion, protect innocent life, and build a culture that supports both mother and child.

A5. Informed consent should be the cornerstone of all medical care choices, but may be suspended in cases of national or state emergencies.

Disagree

A5. Comment

Informed consent should remain the cornerstone of medical care because it protects individual liberty and the doctor–patient relationship.

Even during national or state emergencies, fundamental rights should not be suspended. While doctors may act without consent in true life-saving situations—such as when a patient is unconscious—that is medical necessity, not government authority overriding consent.

A6. Informed consent should be the cornerstone of all medical care choices.

Agree

A6. Comment

Informed consent should be the cornerstone of all medical care decisions, ensuring patients have the right to understand the risks, benefits, and alternatives before agreeing to any treatment.

A7. Vaccine mandates are a necessary evil to minimize and lessen the chance of pandemics, but should also include exemptions for religious reasons.

Disagree

A7. Comment

Vaccine mandates should NEVER be imposed by the government. Medical decisions should remain between individuals and their doctors, and people should have the freedom to make informed choices about their own health without government coercion, regardless of religious beliefs.

SECOND AMENDMENT

I support the following statements:

B1. All citizens should be able to own and carry firearms with no restrictions.

Agree

B1. Comment

I strongly agree, and Missouri is leading the way by already putting this principle into practice for its own people.

The Second Amendment doesn't ask for government permission slips, training mandates, fees, or arbitrary age gates beyond basic eligibility to possess firearms. It's an individual right to keep and bear arms for self-defense, against criminals, and—if necessary—against tyranny. Missouri recognizes this by allowing eligible adults to carry concealed or openly without a permit—no bureaucratic hurdles required.

B2. It is government's role to place restrictions on some types of weapons and accessories for the safety of all.

Disagree

B2. Comment

The Second Amendment exists precisely to prevent government from deciding which arms the people may keep and bear. Restrictions on "some" types inevitably become restrictions on many, disarming law-abiding citizens while criminals remain armed. Safety is best achieved by enforcing laws against violent people—not by limiting the tools honest people use to defend themselves.

B3. It is necessary for government to implement licensing and restrictions to protect against crimes such as mass shootings and to control firearms sales.

Disagree

B3. Comment

Licensing and restrictions don't stop mass shootings or illegal sales—criminals ignore them, while they burden law-abiding citizens. Missouri's permitless carry since 2017 shows freedom and responsibility coexist without causing spikes in such crimes. Real prevention targets criminals and mental health, not

paperwork on honest gun owners.

B4. Restrictions on magazine capacity is a reasonable approach to dangerous situations like mass shootings or confrontations with law enforcement.

Disagree

B4. Comment

Magazine capacity restrictions are ineffective against mass shootings—attackers carry multiple magazines or improvised reloads, and the few seconds saved rarely change outcomes. They also hinder law-abiding citizens in legitimate self-defense, where higher capacity can be life-saving against multiple threats. Criminals and determined shooters ignore limits anyway. Missouri trusts responsible adults without such arbitrary caps, and real solutions lie in addressing root causes, not limiting tools for the innocent.

B5. I support all aspects of Missouri's SAPA law.

Agree

B5. Comment

Missouri's Second Amendment Preservation Act (SAPA) was a principled defense of state sovereignty and the right to keep and bear arms free from federal overreach. It correctly declared unconstitutional federal gun laws unenforceable within Missouri and protected citizens and local officials from complying with them, grounded firmly in the 10th Amendment—which reserves to the states (or the people) all powers not delegated to the federal government. Even though federal courts struck it down (and SCOTUS declined review), the core idea remains sound—federal gun control exceeding constitutional bounds should be nullified at the state level.

Supporting all aspects of SAPA means rejecting federal registration, bans, or confiscation schemes that infringe on the Second Amendment. Missouri should lead by example in standing up for unalienable rights against D.C. tyranny.

B6. Elected officials have the right to make and change gun laws as society's needs change.

Disagree

B6. Comment

The Second Amendment enshrines an inalienable right, not a privilege subject to elected officials' whims or shifting "societal needs." Rights aren't up for vote or revision—they're protected from government tinkering to prevent tyranny. In Missouri, we've seen how federal and state overreach ignores this; true conservatives defend the Constitution as written, not as "evolving."

B7. Red flag laws are a necessary evil to protect Missouri citizens.

Disagree

B7. Comment

Red flag laws undermine due process by allowing gun confiscation based on accusations without conviction, risking abuse against innocent Missourians. They don't effectively prevent violence (as seen in states with them) and infringe on Second Amendment rights

TAXATION & PROPERTY RIGHTS

I support the following statements:

National

C1. Any Change to the federal tax system should include a requirement for a balanced budget with caps on debt.

Agree

C1. Comment

Any federal tax reform must mandate a balanced budget and strict debt caps—via constitutional amendment if needed. Endless deficits fuel inflation, burden future generations, and enable big government. Missouri families live within their means; Washington must be forced to do the same. No tax changes without real spending discipline.

C2. The IRS should be eliminated and taxation should be based on a national sales tax.

Disagree

C2. Comment

As I would like to see the IRS eliminated. However, eliminating the IRS and switching to a national sales tax would be regressive, hitting working families hardest on everyday purchases while favoring the wealthy who save more. Revenue shortfalls and enforcement issues make it risky.

Better: Follow Trump's approach—slash or eliminate income taxes and fund government mainly through high tariffs on imports. This protects American jobs, shifts the burden to foreign producers, and avoids taxing citizens directly. Tariffs historically worked pre-income tax; pair with spending cuts for real fiscal responsibility.

C3. Any change to the federal tax system must include a repeal of the 16th Amendment that established the federal income tax.

Agree

C3. Comment

Any serious reform of the federal tax system should include repealing the Sixteenth Amendment. The income tax has allowed the federal government to grow far beyond its constitutional limits. If we want real tax reform, we must simplify the system, reduce the burden on working Americans, and restore limits on federal power.

C4. EPA regulations are necessary to protect our natural resources.

Disagree

C4. Comment

Protecting our natural resources is important, but many regulations from the EPA have become overly burdensome and often harm farmers, landowners, and small businesses. Environmental stewardship can be achieved without heavy-handed federal regulations that undermine local economies.

C5. To run the government, a progressive tax more fairly shares the burden of taxing the people.

Disagree

C5. Comment

A progressive tax system unfairly punishes success. The tax burden should be simple, fair, and applied equally rather than targeting people based on how much they earn.

State

C6. Only US citizens should be allowed to own property in Missouri.

Agree

C6. Comment

Missouri land should belong to Americans, not foreign interests. We already have a troubling example with Smithfield Foods, which owns major hog operations in Missouri but was purchased by the Chinese-owned WH Group in 2013. Allowing foreign-backed corporations—especially those tied to adversarial nations—to control American farmland threatens our food supply, our national security, and our sovereignty. Missouri property ownership should be reserved for U.S. citizens.-PERIOD

C7. Eminent Domain is necessary to improve the community as a whole.

Disagree

C7. Comment

The government should not have the power to take private property from citizens. Property rights are fundamental, and eminent domain too often abuses those rights rather than truly serving the public good.

C8. The Missouri budget is out of control. Real cuts have to be made, and we need more federal contributions to make up any shortfalls.

Disagree

C8. Comment

Missouri doesn't have a revenue problem—it has a spending problem. We should focus on responsible budgeting and controlling spending, not relying on more federal contributions that increase dependence on Washington.

C9. It is necessary for government to grow as our population and its needs expand.

Disagree

C9. Comment

Government should not automatically grow with population. The focus should be on limited government, efficiency, and protecting individual liberty—not expanding bureaucracy.

C10. Real Estate and personal property taxes are necessary to fund government needs.

Disagree

C10. Comment

Property taxes force people to continually pay the government just to keep what they already own. We should be working toward tax systems that respect true property ownership rather than relying on taxes that punish homeowners and property owners.

C11. Real estate and personal property taxes should be eliminated and replaced with a consumption (sales) tax.

Disagree

C11. Comment

Property taxes should be eliminated so people can truly own their property, but I'm not convinced a consumption tax is the best replacement. Because it's based on usage, it could create situations where people can't afford essential emergency services—like an ambulance ride or the fire department responding to a house fire—raising the question of who ultimately pays for it.

C12. The elimination of state income tax is on the right track if it is coupled with spending cuts.

Agree

C12. Comment

I support eliminating the income tax, but we should not weaken the Hancock Amendment or remove the people's right to vote on tax increases to do it. Tax reform should come through spending restraint and responsible legislation, not by reducing constitutional taxpayer protections.

C13. Missouri should have a state version of DOGE, with authority to recommend or eliminate state funded agencies, budget items, positions within government, and other wasteful spending items in the budget.

Agree

C13. Comment

It should not be a façade of reform, but a real DOGE with the authority to effectively root out waste, fraud, and abuse so taxpayer dollars are used responsibly.

C14. Any elimination of tax burdens should prioritize real estate and personal property taxes before state income tax.

Agree

C14. Comment

Property and personal property taxes should be prioritized for elimination because citizens should truly own their property without paying the government every year to keep it. Any tax reform should focus on reducing the overall burden on Missourians while ensuring government lives within its means.

GLOBAL ISSUES

I support the following statements:

D1. Organizations such as the UN and NATO are good because they provide a platform for global discussions.

Disagree

D1. Comment

While global discussions can occur, organizations like United Nations and NATO often undermine national sovereignty and place unnecessary obligations on the United States.

D2. The EPA is necessary to control bad actors and safeguard an acceptable environment for everyone.

Disagree

D2. Comment

Protecting the environment is important, but the EPA has become overly bureaucratic and often imposes burdensome regulations that hurt farmers, landowners, and small businesses. Environmental stewardship can be achieved without excessive federal control.

D3. Government regulations are an impediment to the development and growth of an economy.

Agree

D3. Comment

Excessive government regulations often slow economic growth, increase costs for businesses, and make it harder for entrepreneurs and small businesses to succeed.

D4. Chemical spraying of our atmosphere must be completely eliminated.

Agree

D4. Comment

Chemical spraying of our atmosphere has been going on for decades, and I'm certain people have become ill because of it. It should be banned immediately to protect public health and ensure accountability.

D5. Missouri should declare its sovereign rights over any global organization attempting control.

Agree

D5. Comment

Missouri should assert its sovereignty and protect the rights of its citizens from any global organization attempting to impose control or undermine constitutional authority.

D6. Missouri should work with global organizations for better economic and environmental outcomes.

Disagree

D6. Comment

Missouri's priority should be protecting its citizens, economy, and sovereignty rather than relying on global organizations to shape our policies.

EDUCATION & FAMILY

I support the following statements:

E1. School Choice & SSA accounts should be an option for education of our children.

Agree

E1. Comment

Education should be about parental choice, not government assignment. The money should follow the child so parents can choose the best educational path for their children—whether that's public school, private school, charter school, or homeschooling.

E2. School's must develop and publish policy on social issues and those are the rules that are to be followed for that school. Such rules must take into account students' unique backgrounds and individual needs to ensure all students feel safe and acknowledged.

Disagree

E2. Comment

Schools exist to educate students in core subjects, not to create policies around social ideologies. Matters involving personal values, identity, and upbringing belong to parents and families—not government institutions.

E3. DESE should be eliminated. Curriculum should be determined locally.

Agree

E3. Comment

Education decisions should be made locally by parents and communities, not by centralized state bureaucracies like the Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. Curriculum and policy should be determined at the local level where families have the most voice.

E4. To protect the privacy of the child, school counselors cannot always share information with the child's parents.

Disagree

E4. Comment

Parents have the fundamental right to know what is happening with their children. School counselors or staff should never withhold information from parents about a child's well-being or decisions affecting their life, and those who intentionally do so should be held accountable.

E5. Whether a child receives vaccinations is the right of the parents alone to decide.

Agree

E5. Comment

Medical decisions for children—including vaccinations—should be the sole responsibility of parents, not the government. Parents have the fundamental right to make informed healthcare decisions for their children.

E6. The Missouri Constitution should be amended to allow marriage between two consenting adults.

Disagree

E6. Comment

As a Christian, I believe marriage is a sacred covenant between a man and a woman before God. The Missouri Constitution should continue to reflect that definition. This understanding of marriage was also consistent with the vision and moral framework held by our founding fathers.

E7. The Department of Education must be eliminated and national curriculum rejected.

Agree

E7. Comment

Education decisions belong with states, local communities, and parents—not federal bureaucracies in Washington.

IMMIGRATION & CRIME

I support the following statements:

F1. Missouri should work with ICE as needed to remove all illegals from our state.

Agree

F1. Comment

Unfortunately, the situation we face is the result of failed policies and reckless decisions from the left that have allowed millions of unknown individuals to enter our country. I stand with Donald Trump on this issue. Missouri should work with ICE to remove those who are here illegally. We should not only close the border to illegal immigration, but also pause legal immigration until we fully understand who is already in this country and can properly investigate and secure our borders.

F2. Children born in this country are US citizens even if their parents are not.

Disagree

F2. Comment

Birthright citizenship has been widely abused and was never intended to encourage illegal immigration. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution should be interpreted to apply to those lawfully under U.S. jurisdiction, not individuals who enter the country illegally.

F3. Immigration amnesty should include people here illegally if they have not broken any laws.

Disagree

F3. Comment

Granting amnesty to those who entered the country illegally undermines the rule of law and is unfair to those who followed the legal immigration process. Immigration policy should prioritize securing the border and enforcing existing laws.

F4. Missouri voter roles must be purged so that only US Citizens can vote on any issue in Missouri

Agree

F4. Comment

Missouri voter rolls should be regularly cleaned to ensure that only eligible U.S. citizens are voting in our elections. Protecting election integrity is essential to maintaining public trust in our democratic process.

F5. Employers who hire illegal aliens should face stiff penalties.

Agree

F6. Missouri should welcome and protect all non-citizens regardless of how they entered the country, if they want to be part of the American Dream of freedom and opportunity.

Disagree

F7. Cashless bail should be eliminated from our judicial and law enforcement process.

Agree

F7. Comment

Cashless bail policies often allow repeat offenders back onto the streets and undermine public safety. The justice system should prioritize protecting law-abiding citizens and holding criminals accountable.

ELECTION INTEGRITY

I support the following statements:

G1. All forms of electronic voting equipment must be eliminated.

Agree

G1. Comment

Elections should be conducted using secure, transparent systems that the public can easily verify. Eliminating electronic voting equipment and returning to paper ballots helps strengthen trust, accuracy, and accountability in our elections.

G2. All election results should be tabulated through hand counting by bi-partisan teams.

Agree

G3. Hand counting does nothing to ensure election integrity as people can cheat. Electronic is more secure.

Disagree

G3. Comment

Electronic systems can be manipulated or lack transparency, while hand counting paper ballots by bipartisan teams allows the public to verify the process and strengthens confidence in election integrity.

G4. Any hand counting of ballots must also be backed up by tabulator scans to confirm/audit totals and should be done by a separate team.

Agree

G4. Comment

As long as paper ballots remain the official record. Hand counting by bipartisan teams provides transparency, and tabulator scans can serve as an additional audit to confirm totals. However, the paper ballot and hand count should always remain the final authority in any election verification process.

G5. Mail-in voting should be expanded to six weeks to ensure all who wish to vote have a chance to do so.

Disagree

G5. Comment

Expanding mail-in voting for extended periods weakens election integrity and chain-of-custody protections. Elections should prioritize secure in-person voting with limited absentee voting for those who truly need it.

G6. Voter rolls should be totally purged after each presidential election cycle and people required to re-register.

Disagree

G6. Comment

Voter rolls should absolutely be kept clean and accurate to ensure election integrity, but requiring every voter to re-register after each presidential cycle may place an unnecessary burden on legitimate voters. The focus should be on regularly removing ineligible registrations while protecting access for eligible citizens.

G7. Primary ballots should be available only to voters whose registered party affiliation matches the ballot they have chosen (closed primaries).

Agree

G7. Comment

Primary elections are meant for political parties to choose their own nominees, so ballots should be limited to voters who are registered with that party to ensure the process reflects the will of that party's members.

G8. Mail-in voting should be eliminated except for overseas military.

Agree

G9. Any mail in balloting must arrive before election day and remain sealed until counted on election day.

Agree

STATE SOVEREIGNTY

I support the following statements:

H1. The 10th Amendment to the US Constitution only applies where Congress has not passed laws to the contrary.

Disagree

H1. Comment

The Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution affirms that powers not delegated to the federal government are reserved to the states or the people, and it should not be overridden simply because Congress passes a law to the contrary.

H2. Missouri's tax funded agencies perform valuable services to our citizens and should receive priority funding when the annual budget is prepared.

Disagree

H2. Comment

Government agencies should not automatically receive priority funding. Every program and agency should be regularly evaluated to ensure it is necessary, effective, and a responsible use of taxpayer dollars. Funding decisions should focus on core government functions and eliminating waste, not simply maintaining bureaucracy.

H3. Missouri's governor and attorney general have a duty to push back on federal overreach, especially when state sovereignty is threatened.

Agree

H4. We should legally challenge the federal government when mandates are issued that affect Missourians.

Agree

H5. Federal and/or state mandates must be enforced to ensure the safety of all Missouri residents.

Disagree

H5. Comment

The safety of Missourians is important, but broad federal or state mandates often undermine individual liberty and local decision-making. Policies should focus on protecting rights and allowing individuals, families, and communities to make their own decisions rather than relying on top-down mandates.

MISCELLANEOUS

I support the following statements:

Legislative & Judiciary.

I1. The Missouri Plan for selection of judges for the MO Supreme and Appellate courts ensures quality candidates are considered for these two courts.

Disagree

I1. Comment

The current system gives too much influence to unelected legal commissions and the Missouri Bar. Judges should be more accountable to the people, and the selection process should provide greater transparency and voter input rather than relying on a small group of insiders.

I2. Bills that are filed and final legislation that is perfected and passed must adhere to the single subject rule of the MO Constitution.

Agree

I2. Comment

We must get back to reading and faithfully adhering to our sacred Constitution so laws are transparent and clearly understood by both legislators and the public.

Party Values

I3. Candidates should only be allowed to file for office under a political party's name if the majority of the candidate's values align with the party's current platform that is in effect when the candidate filed for office.

Agree

I4. If the majority of an elected official's voting record does not reflect the party's stated platform values, the party should refuse to allow that individual to file with their party in the future.

Agree

I5. If elected, I pledge that I will abstain from accepting monetary donations or gifts from lobbyists.

Agree

CANDIDATE STATEMENT

You have indicated your desire to run for elected office as a member of the Missouri Republican Party ("Party"). By signing this Statement, you are attesting that the majority of your values are in alignment with the Party's, as enumerated by the Party Platform, together with the Amendments passed at last year's state convention ("Platform"). The affixing of your signature on this Statement is an affirmation to both Missouri voters and Party committee men and women that your actions, bills, and votes (collectively "Performance") as an elected official will honor the Platform values you are indicating align with your own.

You also understand numerous court rulings have indicated if a candidate files with a party whose values (s)he does not share, said candidate is committing a fraud and deceit upon the voting public. (See SCOTUS Justice Antonin Scalia delivering majority opinion in *California Democratic Party v. Jones*, 530 U.S. 567 (2000)) The oral delivery of the opinion can be heard at this link: <https://www.oyez.org/cases/1999/99-401>.)

Candidates wishing to represent the Republican Party through elected office are expected to check the boxes below that you agree with, and you must sign this Statement as part of REPACCMO's vetting process. If your Central Committee is doing mandatory vetting, they may have adopted a policy of rejecting the filing fee of those county candidates who do not successfully complete the REPACCMO vetting process. If your filing fee is rejected, that also serves as the Party's rejection of your ability to file as a Republican candidate at the county level. Therefore, you should check with your central committee chair to determine their policy. You can find your county's chair and contact information at this link: <https://www.repaccmo.com/cc> REPACCMO makes the results of your Candidate Questionnaire available to central committees.

If you are an incumbent with a voting record, as part of the REPACCMO evaluation, we will check that record. We utilize three independent scoring services, Freedom Principle of MO, Freedom Index, and CPAC. If you have at least a C- from two of the three services, at least a C- on this questionnaire, and no felony convictions in the State of Missouri, you will earn a REPACCMO VETTED logo which can be used on marketing materials. Your completed questionnaire will be placed on our REPACCMO website, and voters will be able to view your positions on the statements you have answered.

We thank you for your commitment to being open and honest with voters about your values and positions. Good luck in your campaign for office, and thank you for participating in REPACCMO vetting. Together, we are building a Republican Party whose members and representatives support the values expressed by the Party's platform. Republican voters deserve no less.

Please check each statement below that you agree with.

I have read completely the current Platform, including Amendments, and affirm the majority of my values align with it. (The platform and amendments passed by the 2024 Missouri State Convention delegates can be found at this link: <https://www.repaccmo.com/mo-republican-platform>)

Agree

If elected or re-elected, I will adhere to the Platform tenets in my capacity as an elected official. I understand I am expected to support the principles of the Platform, and if my elected position casts votes, the Platform will be a guide for my voting decisions. My Performance will reflect my belief in the Party's values as enumerated in the Platform.

Agree

I understand being a Republican elected official means I am a representative of the Party's values. I will listen to my Party's input, and my Performance will reflect my support of Republican values over those of special interest groups, lobbyists, and/or my own desires for career advancement in politics or otherwise. I will ensure my Performance brings honor to my office and does not compromise any aspect of the duties

Agree

required by my elected office. “Party interest” does not mean nor would it include compromising my legal responsibilities.

In my capacity as an elected official, I understand I am expected to honor the Platform by performing my duties in an impartial manner, without regard to race, ethnicity, or religion. I will favor equality over equity. If my elected office is judicial, I shall not legislate from the bench or use my authority to favor one party over another, but rather be guided by established judicial principles. This principle of equality under the law is in compliance with the Party’s Platform.

Agree

I expect the Party will carefully watch my voting record (if my elected position casts votes) to monitor adherence to the Platform. If my Performance deviates from the Platform, I understand I may be contacted by members of the Party for an explanation. If my Performance continues to reflect my disregard for the tenets of the Platform I have said I support, I further understand the Party has the right to refuse to allow me to file as a Republican for any future elected office, regardless of the office sought.

Agree

I understand this Questionnaire is only one part of a multipart process the Party’s vetting committee may utilize in determining my eligibility to run for elected office as a Republican. Signing this Statement offers no guarantee of acceptance of my filing fee for county level office. If my filing fee is rejected, I understand it is also the official Party rejection of my ability to register as a Republican candidate for the political office being sought. (I know I would still be able to file with another party or as an Independent.) Additionally, I understand there may be central committees who elect to offer speaking engagements for community events only to candidates who have gone through their vetting process.

I understand

Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo) 115.357 stipulates you cannot appear on any ballot using the Party's name until the appropriate Committee Treasurer has accepted your filing fee. Giving your fee to any other individual does NOT mean your fee has been accepted by the Party. Fees paid to any other individual, such as directly to the election authority, can be returned uncashed, and the Party can reject your candidacy which prevents you from filing as a Republican. For county offices, contact the central committee chair to determine their process which may include paying the filing fee ONLY to the committee treasurer. (See MO case law 24VE-CV00185)

I understand

By typing your full name below, you are acknowledging your agreement with the statements checked above.

Dusty Blue

A3. As a first step towards banning abortions in Missouri, I would support the 2026 A3 Amendment which allows for exceptions in the case of rape, incest, and the life of the mother.

Agree